

## Declass Review by NIMA/DOD

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Technical Consultant for TDB

STATINTL

In mid October 1960 Messrs. [REDACTED] had a discussion to bringing aboard two in-house computer programmers on a consultant basis. On 21 October 1960 [REDACTED] submitted a memo to [REDACTED] recommending that AFIC obtain the services of a top-oriented photogrammetrist on the same basis; the person [REDACTED] available to come in on a particular problem to analyze and give guidance to the computer programmers, after which he [REDACTED] leave until needed again.

[REDACTED] felt that with [REDACTED] available for [REDACTED] lieu of obtaining highly paid programmers, it would suit [REDACTED] two young, alert individuals who could construct a flow [REDACTED] program. [REDACTED] also felt that the services of [REDACTED] (reasonable facsimile) along with two young qualified programmers would obviate the need for contract programming, and thus save [REDACTED] of time and money being spent on meetings, consultations, and [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] presented the matter to the Technical Development Board (TDB) in December 1960 and received approval to solicit a proposal from [REDACTED]. A firm proposal was received [REDACTED] late January 61. In March 1961, [REDACTED] presented a staff study to the TDB recommending that a contract be negotiated with [REDACTED]. The TDB concurred in the recommendation. [REDACTED] approved the recommendation "in principle" but added that the matter required further investigation with the Procurement Division and [REDACTED] and that [REDACTED] staff study did not give a clear idea of scope, i.e., days per month/year, men to be employed, and what would be reasonable cost for such services.

From March 61 to February 62 the case was in limbo while Mr. [REDACTED] was being investigated for TS clearance. The clearance was granted on 13 February 1962, after which [REDACTED] prepared a staff study on 16 March 62 restating the need for [REDACTED] services. On 29 March 62 the Technical Development Committee (STC) recommended to [REDACTED] that a contract be negotiated. [REDACTED] approved the recommendation with the following report: "requirement recognized, administrative feasibility of securing subject's services on equitable basis yet to be determined".

SECRET

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

SECRET

SUBJECT: Technical Consultant for TID

STATINTL

After many discussions involving personnel of the Admin. Staff

ment Division [redacted] a scope of work was drawn up and a requisition was submitted to the Procurement Division on 6 August 1962.

STATINTL

ILLEGIB

Based on the NPIC requisition, a time & materials [redacted] negotiated with [redacted] which calls for:

STATINTL

a. The services of a photogrammetrist-mathematician on an on-call basis through 30 June 1963, for an average of 20 hours per week, to perform such work as directed by the technical representative of the Contracting Officer.

b. The photogrammetrist-mathematician must be a person acceptable to the technical representative of the Contracting Officer and must be acceptable under Agency security regulations.

c. Back up support by the vendors facility and personnel as directed by the technical representative of the Contracting Officer.

d. The work to be performed in-house will be classified. No classified work is to be performed at the vendor's plant.

TINTL

Whether or not the services of [redacted] are ever used under this contract is strictly up to NPIC. If services are performed then reimbursement will be for actual time worked or materials furnished. If we don't call on [redacted] to provide [redacted] then the contract will not cost us anything.

STATINTL

STATINTL

Administrative Staff, NPIC

SECRET

STATINTL

Approved For Release 2001/04/02 : CIA-RDP78B04747A000600050004-7

DATE: 18 Sep 62

	TO	INITIALS	DATE	FOR YOUR								
				SIGNATURE	INFORMATION	COMMENTS	APPROVAL	ACTION	SEE ME	FILE	RETURN	SEE REMARKS BELOW
DIR												
DEP. DIR												
EXEC/DIR												
OPS/OFF												
SA												
SA												
CH. AS												
CH/SS												
CH/RS												
CH PAS												
CH/TPDS	X	WUX	20 Sept	X						X		
CH/PD		JWL	9 Oct									
CH/CMD												
CH/TISD												
SIO/CIA												
SIO/ARMY												
SIO/NAVY												
SIO/AF												
SEC/AC												

REMARKS: I prepared the attached, at the request of [redacted] in order for Mr. Rundahl to be briefed on this matter. I sent a copy to [redacted]

STATINTL

STATINTL